2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

NO. 2:16-CR-0183-TOR 2:16-CR-0215-TOR

JORGE AYALA MAGANA,

v.

Defendant.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REDUCE SENTENCE

BEFORE THE COURT are Defendant's Motions for Compassionate

Release Reduction in Sentence Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). ECF Nos.

30, 42, in the listed files respectively. The Government has submitted its

opposition. ECF Nos. 31, 43, respectively. The Court has reviewed the record and files herein, and is fully informed.

BACKGROUND

On April 13, 2017, Jorge Ayala Magana appeared before the Court and entered a plea of guilty to the Indictment filed on December 20, 2016, charging him with Possession with the Intent to Distribute 50 Grams or More of Actual

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REDUCE SENTENCE ~ 1

Methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1); Possession with the Intent to Distribute 100 Grams or More of a Mixture and Substance Containing a Detectable Amount of Heroin, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1); and Possession with the Intent to Distribute a Mixture and Substance Containing a Detectable Amount of Cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). ECF Nos. 24, 26 (case # 2:16-CR-0215-TOR). On October 12, 2017, this Court sentenced Defendant to, inter alia, a 180-month term of imprisonment, followed by a 6-year term of supervised release. ECF No. 38.

Additionally, on October 12, 2017, Defendant admitted violation of his supervised release arising from a prior conviction in the District of Montana. Defendant admitted possession of methamphetamine, heroin and cocaine on December 3, 2016, the basis for the new charges in the Eastern District of Washington. ECF Nos. 9, 28 (case # 2:16-CR-0183-TOR). Defendant's supervised release was revoked and he was sentenced to a 60-month term of imprisonment, 36 months concurrent and 24 months consecutive to the term of imprisonment imposed in case number 2:16-CR-0215-TOR. Id. Defendant was remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal for service of his sentences. His projected release date is now May 31, 2031. ECF Nos. 31 at 2, 43 at 2, respectively.

20

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Defendant sought compassionate release, which was denied on April 21, 2020. ECF Nos. 30-3, 42-3, respectively. The Government concedes Defendant has exhausted his administrative remedies. ECF Nos. 31 at 5, 43 at 5, respectively.

On June 23, 2020, Defendant filed the instant motions in both cases seeking compassionate release. ECF Nos. 30, 42, respectively. Defendant seeks to be released because if he catches COVID-19, his chances of surviving are slim to none. ECF Nos. 30 at 3, 42 at 3, respectively. He explains that he has high blood pressure, high cholesterol, asthma, obesity, diabetes, and obstructive sleep apnea. *Id.* at 3-4.

DISCUSSION

A. Eligibility for Compassionate Release

Federal courts have the statutory authority to modify an imposed term of imprisonment for two reasons: compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1) or based on a change in the sentencing guidelines under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Until recently, motions for compassionate release could only be brought to the Court by the Director of the Bureau of Prisons. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) (2002). However, after the December 2018 passage of the First Step Act, defendants may now bring their own motions for compassionate release after exhausting administrative remedies within the Bureau of Prisons or by waiting 30 days after receipt of their request by the warden of defendant's facility, whichever is earlier.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) (2018).

A defendant may be eligible for compassionate release: (1) if the Court finds "extraordinary or compelling reasons" to warrant a sentence reduction; or (2) if the defendant is at least 70 years old, has served at least 30 years in prison pursuant to a sentence imposed for the offense for which the defendant is currently imprisoned, and the defendant is determined not to pose a risk of danger to the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). Under either eligibility prong, the Court must also find that a sentence reduction is "consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the [United States] Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). The Sentencing Guidelines instruct that the Court should consider the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553 when deciding a motion for compassionate release, and that the Court should not grant a sentence reduction if the defendant poses a risk of danger to the community, as defined in the Bail Reform Act. U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13.

The Sentencing Commission's policy statement on sentence reduction mirrors the language of the compassionate release statute, but it has not yet been updated to reflect the procedural changes implemented by the First Step Act.

U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13. "While that particular policy statement has not yet been updated to reflect that defendants (and not just the [Bureau of Prisons ("BOP")]) may move for compassionate release, courts have universally turned to U.S.S.G.

14 15

17

18

16

19

20

§ 1B1.13 to provide guidance on the 'extraordinary and compelling reasons' that may warrant a sentence reduction." United States v. McGraw, No. 2:02-cr-00018-LJM-CMM, 2019 WL 2059488, at *2 (S.D. Ind. May 9, 2019) (gathering cases). The sentence reduction policy statement outlines four categories of circumstances that may constitute "extraordinary and compelling reasons" for a sentence reduction: (1) the defendant suffers from a medical condition that is terminal or substantially diminishes the defendant's ability to provide self-care in a correctional environment; (2) the defendant is at least 65 years old, is experiencing a serious deterioration in health due to the aging process, and has served at least 10 years or 75% of his or her term of imprisonment; (3) family circumstances involving the death or incapacitation of the caregiver of the defendant's minor child or the incapacitation of the defendant's spouse or registered partner; or (4) other reasons, other than or in combination with the other listed circumstances, that are extraordinary and compelling. U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13, Application Note 1. Defendant's motion will be analyzed under the catch-all provision, "other reasons" that are "extraordinary and compelling."

B. Exhaustion or Lapse of 30 days

A warden denied Defendant's compassionate release request on April 21, 2020. ECF Nos. 30-3, 42-3, respectively. The Government concedes Defendant

has exhausted his administrative remedies. ECF Nos. 31 at 5, 43 at 5, respectively. Accordingly, the Court finds Defendant has exhausted his administrative remedies.

C. Extraordinary and Compelling Reasons

Defendant contends that his medical condition presents an extraordinary and compelling reason to justify a reduction in sentence. Defendant, age 43, argues that his medical condition, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, asthma, obesity, diabetes, and obstructive sleep apnea, make his chances of surviving slim to none. ECF Nos. 30 at 3-4, 42 at 3-4, respectively. Whether Defendant is housed in prison or released, the virus continues to spread throughout society. Just because he fears an infection does not warrant immediate release. In this case, there are no extraordinary and compelling reasons for a reduction in sentence.

D. Factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)

18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) and the Sentencing Guidelines instruct that the Court should consider the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) when deciding a motion for compassionate release.

At the time of the original sentencing, the Court fully considered those factors and imposed a sentence that was sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with and fulfill the goals of sentencing. Once again, the Court has fully considered these factors in light of the developments since sentencing. Of particular note is that the criminal conduct here was not a one time event, but was

repeated conduct of drug trafficking of multiple substances, including cocaine, heroin and methamphetamine. Significantly, while Defendant was on supervised release from the District of Montana, he was arrested again for possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance.

The sentence the Court imposed was "sufficient, but not greater than necessary," to comply with the purposes of § 3553(a), including to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment for the offense and to afford adequate deterrence to this criminal conduct. The sentence imposed remains sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of sentencing.

E. Danger to any Person and the Community under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)

By seeking release, Defendant implies that he poses no danger to the community. While Defendant's underlying crime is not categorized as a violent crime, it is a serious drug trafficking offense that carries with it a rebuttable presumption of detention and demonstrates the risk he presents to the safety of the community. At this time, the Court finds Defendant continues to pose a risk to the community if he were released.

CONCLUSION

Although Defendant's health condition is unfortunate, the Court declines to exercise its discretion to reduce Defendant's sentence because extraordinary and

compelling reasons do not warrant such a reduction. Moreover, Defendant has failed to establish "extraordinary or compelling reasons" which would warrant a sentence reduction in his case.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Defendant's Motions for Compassionate Release Reduction in Sentence Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), ECF Nos. 30, 42, respectively, are **DENIED**.

The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order and furnish copies to the parties.

DATED July 7, 2020.



THOMAS O. RICE

Chief United States District Judge